

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/641,469	Applicant(s) HERST ET AL.	
	Examiner Y Quach Lee	Art Unit 2875	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Y Quach Lee. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Mr. Donald L. Beeson. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4- 7, 14, 21, 22, 34, and 39-44.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 11, 14, 21 and 34 were discussed. The language "an active light source", "a light source", and "a brightness reduction cover element" has been proposed to change to "at least one active light source", "at least one light source", and "at least one brightness reduction cover element". Claims 4-7, 12, 13 and 38-44 previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined since these claims depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of the allowed generic claims 1 and 34.